

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/612,430		07/02/2003	Emmanuel Lardais	28944/40072	5051		
29471	7590	04/08/2005		EXAMINER			
		FRANK LLP	D ADAMO, STEPHEN D				
200 W. ADA SUITE 2150		LEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	)6		3636			

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J.	S.	P	atent	an	d T	rad	lem	ıark	Of	ic
-	-	_	-	~~	-				4.	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) \_\_ Other: \_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/612,430

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganot et al. (6,095,608) in view of Pipon et al. (4,770,464).

Ganot discloses a "vehicle seta fitted with a hinge mechanism" comprising a seat back 4 and a seat proper 2 mounted in a pivotal relationship. Each side of the vehicle seat includes first and second hinges 5 and 6 "which are respectively controlled by handles 7 and 8 and which are connected to each other by a link 9 extending horizontally and transversely relative to the seat" (col.6, lines 53-56). Each hinge comprises a first cheek plate 10, 11 secured to the seat proper and a second cheek plate 12, 13 secured to the seat back. The second cheek plate 12, 13 provides a first set of teeth 30, 31 centered on the pivot axis. Further, a plurality (3) of locking members 26, 27 on each hinge are provided with a second set of teeth 28, 29 having an angular pitch identical to that of the cheek plate. The locking members are mounted to move in a substantially radial direction between an active position where the two sets of teeth are in engagement with one another and a retracted position where the two sets of teeth do not cooperate with tone another. However, Ganot fails to teach of circumferential movement of the locking members in one of the hinges. Yet, Pipon discloses "articulation members for back

Art Unit: 3636

portions of vehicle seats." The articulation members comprise of a tooth ring 1 and three bearing plates 3, 4, 5 with toothed surfaces having the same pitch as the toothed ring. Further, Pipon discloses a certain amount of play in the bearing plates or locking members in a circumferential direction. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify one of the hinge mechanisms of Ganot with Pipon's articulation member, for providing "a compensation in play" of the reclining mechanism.

Regarding claim 2, Pipon discloses the minimum angle between the two positions of the back portion is equal to the angular pitch of one tooth. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the compensation in play of the articulation member twice that of one tooth, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

In regards to claims 3-5 Ganot and Pipon disclose guides for guiding the bearing plates or locking members into and out of engagement with the first set of teeth on the cheek plate. Therefore, when the locking members are in the retracted position, they are all in an identical position, relative to the first cheek plate. Moreover, the hinge of Pipon includes the sectors or guides 16, 17, 18 in which the locking members or bearing plates can bear against in the active position. Furthermore, with regards to claim 6, Pipon discloses intermediary parts 22, 23, 24. The intermediary parts ensure a wedging position for the bearing plates 13, 14, 15. Note, Figure 6 of Pipon discloses bearing edges 14b and 15a of

the bearing plates or locking members for respective wedges with the set of teeth on each bearing plate.

Regarding claim 8, Pipon discloses slug carriers 22, 23, 24 mounted to slide radially between two guides 16, 17, 18. The slug carriers cooperate to with the control device and connect to a slug or bearing members 13, 14, 15, which engages with the first set of teeth on the cheek plate.

Regarding claim 10, Ganot teaches of a rotary cam, which is urged towards a rest position in which the cam places the locking members in the active/engaging position. Further, the control plate 43 is secured to the cam and includes cutouts 45 adapted to cooperate with pegs provided on each locking member.

In regards to claim 13, Ganot teaches of a lost-motion mechanical coupling which interconnects the control devices of the first and second hinges.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganot et al. (6,095,608) in view of Pipon et al. (4,770,464) and in further view of Cilliere et al. (6,561,585).

Ganot discloses a "vehicle seta fitted with a hinge mechanism" comprising a seat back 4 and a seat proper 2 mounted in a pivotal relationship. Each side of the vehicle seat includes first and second hinges 5 and 6 "which are respectively controlled by handles 7 and 8 and which are connected to each other by a link 9 extending horizontally and transversely relative to the seat" (col.6, lines 53-56). Each hinge comprises a first cheek plate 10, 11 secured to the seat proper and a second cheek plate 12, 13 secured to the seat back. The second cheek plate 12, 13 provides a first set of teeth 30, 31 centered on the

Art Unit: 3636

pivot axis. Further, a plurality (3) of locking members 26, 27 on each hinge are provided with a second set of teeth 28, 29 having an angular pitch identical to that of the cheek plate. The locking members are mounted to move in a substantially radial direction between an active position where the two sets of teeth are in engagement with one another and a retracted position where the two sets of teeth do not cooperate with tone another. However, Ganot fails to teach of circumferential movement of the locking members in one of the hinges. Yet, Pipon discloses "articulation members for back portions of vehicle seats." The articulation members comprise of a tooth ring 1 and three bearing plates 3, 4, 5 with toothed surfaces having the same pitch as the toothed ring. Further, Pipon discloses a certain amount of play in the bearing plates or locking members in a circumferential direction. Pipon fails to further teach of springs and a control plate on the articulation members. Yet, Cilliere teaches the conventionality of utilizing both springs and a control plate as claimed. Cilliere teaches of similar articulation members as taught by Pipon and also including springs 27, which urge the locking members to an active position. Moreover, Cilliere discloses a control plate 118 having cutouts 119 adapted to cooperate with projecting pegs provided in the locking members. The cutout has a ramp-shaped cam edge, as seen in Figure 13, which is adapted to hold the corresponding locking member in the active position when the control plate is in the rest position. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ganot and Pipon with springs and an additional control plate, as taught by Cilliere, for providing a stronger engagement between the two sets of teeth.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3 January 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states, "while the '464 [Pipon] patent structure allows a certain amount of play, it does not describe or suggest that modifying one of the hinge members to allow play while the other hinge member does not allow any play" (page 7 – Remarks). Furthermore, the applicant continues, "there is no disclosure or suggestion in either '608 [Ganot] patent or the '464 [Pipon] patent of the use of two different hinge mechanisms" (page 7 – Remarks). However, in response to applicant's argument, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Pipon's teaching of a hinge mechanism allowing a certain amount of play in Ganot's hinge mechanism for providing a more secure locking of the seat back. Since Pipon's hinge mechanism does allow play, whether the hinge mechanism of Ganot includes a certain amount of play on a single side of the seat or on both sides of the seat is merely a matter

Application/Control Number: 10/612,430

Art Unit: 3636

of engineering design choice, and thus does not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen D'Adamo whose telephone number is 703-305-8173. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-3:30, 2nd Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pete Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,430

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

50

sd

March 30, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600

Page 8